## i962gonC kjc UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, New York, N.Y. 18 Cr. 601(PGG) 4 V. 5 GODOFREDO LEANDRO GONZALEZ, et al., 6 Defendants. 7 Conference ----X 8 September 6, 2018 9 12:40 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. PAUL G. GARDEPHE, 12 District Judge 13 14 15 **APPEARANCES** 16 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the 17 Southern District of New York BY: AMANDA L. HOULE Assistant United States Attorney 18 19 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK 20 Attorneys for Defendant Gonzalez BY: CLAY H. KAMINSKY 21 22 FLORIAN MIEDEL Attorney for Defendant Monasterio 23 DAVID S. GREENFIELD 24 Attorney for Defendant Maikel 25

	i962gonC kjc
1	APPEARANCES (continued)
2	
3	LISA SCOLARI Attorney for Defendants Roopwah and Torres
5	DAVID K. BERTAN Attorney for Defendant Sucre
6	Accorney for Defendant Sucre
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	BERNARD GROENEVELD, Dutch Interpreter
10	MIRTA HESS, Spanish Interpreter
11	ERIKA DE LOS RÍOS, Spanish Interpreter
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(Case called) 1 2 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Is the government ready? MS. HOULE: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor. Amanda 3 4 Houle for the government. 5 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Defendants ready? 6 MR. KAMINSKY: Yes, your Honor. Clay Kaminsky, 7 Federal Defenders, for Mr. Leandro Gonzalez. MR. MIEDEL: Good afternoon. Florian Miedel, for Luis 8 9 Febres Monasterio, who is second in the front row. 10 MR. GREENFIELD: Good afternoon, your Honor. David 11 Greenfield for Mr. Maikel, who is last on your right. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MS. SCOLARI: Your Honor, with the court's permission, 14 I will stand in for César De Castro for Omar Torres. Mr. 15 Torres, would you raise your hand? He is in the jury box. And I have spoken to both Mr. Torres and my client, Mr. Roopwah, 16 17 and they both agree I can stand in for today if the court 18 approves. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 MR. BERTAN: David Bertan here for Mr. Sucre. He is

MR. BERTAN: David Bertan here for Mr. Sucre. He is seated in position number three in the front row.

THE COURT: All right.

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SCOLARI: And again, I represent Mr. Roopwah, Lisa Scolari.

THE COURT: Right. Got it.

23

24

25

We are here for purposes of arraigning the defendants 1 2 on the charges in the indictment, and so I will start with Mr. Gonzalez. 3 4 Mr. Gonzalez you are here with Mr. Kaminski as your attorney this afternoon, is that correct? 5 DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Yes. 6 7 THE COURT: Mr. Monasterio, you are here with Mr. Miedel as your attorney this afternoon, is that correct? 8 9 DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Maikel, I'm sorry if I am 11 mispronouncing that, you are here with Mr. Greenfield as your 12 attorney this afternoon? 13 DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Yes, sir. 14 THE COURT: Mr. Torres, Ms. Scolari is standing in for 15 your attorney, Mr. de Castro, this afternoon, is that correct? 16 DEFENDANT TORRES: Yes. 17 THE COURT: And Mr. Roopwah, you are being represented by Ms. Scolari this afternoon, is that correct? 18 DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: That's correct. 19 20 THE COURT: And then Mr. Sucre, you are here with 21 Mr. Bertan as your attorney this afternoon, is that correct? 22 DEFENDANT SUCRE: Yes.

THE COURT: I need to ask each of you if you have received a copy of the indictment and if it has been read to you in your native language.

1 I will start with you, Mr. Gonzalez. Have you 2 received a copy of the indictment and have the charges been 3 read to you? 4 DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Yes. 5 THE COURT: And Mr. Monasterio, have you received a copy of the indictment and has the indictment been read to you? 6 7 DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Yes. THE COURT: Mr. Maikel, have you received a copy of 8 the indictment and has it been read to you? 9 10 DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Yes, I discussed it with my 11 attorney. THE COURT: Mr. Torres, have you received a copy of 12 13 the indictment and has it been read to you? 14 DEFENDANT TORRES: Yes. 15 THE COURT: And Mr. Roopwah, have you received a copy of the indictment and has it been read to you? 16 17 DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: Yes, my Honor. Yes. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Sucre, sorry, Mr. Sucre, have you 19 received a copy of the indictment and has it been read to you? 20 DEFENDANT SUCRE: Yes. 21 THE COURT: And have each of you had an opportunity to 22 discuss the charges in the indictment with your attorney? 23 Mr. Gonzalez?

THE COURT: Mr. Monasterio?

DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Yes.

24

25

1	DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Maikel?
3	DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Mr. Torres?
5	DEFENDANT TORRES: Yes.
6	DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: Mr. Roopwah?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, my Honor.
8	THE COURT: And Mr. Sucre?
9	DEFENDANT SUCRE: Yes.
10	THE COURT: I need each of you to understand the
11	charges against you in the indictment, so I'm going to review
12	them with you. In the first charge in the indictment, you are
13	charged with violating statutes that make it unlawful to
14	conspire or agree to distribute cocaine or to possess cocaine
15	with the intent to distribute it while on board a vessel that
16	is subject to the maritime jurisdiction of the United States.
17	In the second count or charge in the indictment, each
18	of you is charged with violating a statute or statutes that
19	make it unlawful to distribute cocaine or to possess cocaine
20	with intent to distribute it while on board a vessel that is
21	subject to the maritime jurisdiction of the United States.
22	Do each of you understand that those are the charges
23	against you?
24	Mr. Gonzalez, do you understand those are the charges
25	against you?

1	DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Monasterio, do you understand those
3	are the charges against you?
4	DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Mr. Maikel, do you understand those are
6	the charges against you?
7	DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Yes, your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Torres, do you understand those are
9	the charges against you?
10	DEFENDANT TORRES: Yes.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Roopwah, do you understand those are
12	the charges against you.
13	DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: Yes. Yes, my Honor.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Sucre, do you understand those are the
15	charges against you?
16	DEFENDANT SUCRE: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Does anyone want me to read the indictment
18	to them now here in open court?
19	Mr. Gonzalez, do you want me to read the indictment to
20	you?
21	DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: No.
22	THE COURT: Mr. Monasterio, do you want me to read the
23	indictment to you?
24	DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: No.
25	THE COURT: Mr. Maikel, do you want me to read the

1 indictment to you? 2 DEFENDANT MAIKEL: No, sir. THE COURT: Mr. Torres, do you want me to read the 3 indictment to you? 4 5 DEFENDANT TORRES: No. 6 THE COURT: Mr. Roopwah, do you want me to read the 7 indictment to you? 8 DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: No, my Honor. 9 THE COURT: And Mr. Sucre, do you want me to read the 10 indictment to you? 11 DEFENDANT SUCRE: No. 12 THE COURT: Then I'm going to ask each of you now as 13 to each charge how you plead, whether you plead quilty or not 14 guilty. 15 Starting with you, Mr. Gonzalez, how do you plead as to the first charge in the indictment? Guilty or not guilty. 16 17 DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Not guilty. 18 THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the indictment? Guilty or not guilty. 19 20 DEFENDANT GONZALEZ: Not quilty. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Monasterio, with respect to Count One 22 of the indictment, guilty or not guilty? 23 DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Not quilty. 24 THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the

i962gonC kjc

25

indictment, guilty or not guilty?

1	DEFENDANT MONASTERIO: Not guilty.
2	THE COURT: Mr. Maikel, with respect to Count One of
3	the indictment, guilty or not guilty?
4	DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Not guilty, your Honor.
5	THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the
6	indictment, guilty or not guilty?
7	DEFENDANT MAIKEL: Not guilty.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Torres, with respect to Count One of
9	the indictment, guilty or not guilty?
10	DEFENDANT TORRES: Not guilty.
11	THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the
12	indictment, guilty or not guilty?
13	DEFENDANT TORRES: Not guilty.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Roopwah, with respect to Count One of
15	the indictment, guilty or not guilty?
16	DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: Not guilty, my Honor.
17	THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the
18	indictment, guilty or not guilty?
19	DEFENDANT ROOPWAH: Not guilty, my Honor.
20	THE COURT: And Mr. Sucre, with respect to Count One
21	of the indictment, guilty or not guilty?
22	DEFENDANT SUCRE: Not guilty.
23	THE COURT: And with respect to Count Two of the
24	indictment, guilty or not guilty?
25	DEFENDANT SUCRE: Not guilty.

THE COURT: Will the government tell me the nature and magnitude of the discovery materials.

MS. HOULE: Yes. Thank you, your Honor.

The government has just produced to defense counsel a CD that contains principally the materials from the Coast Guard, so that includes photos from the interdiction of the defendants' vessel and records relating to the cocaine found onboard, as well as records from the Coast Guard officers who participated in the interdiction. Their statements are included in the discovery which also relate to statements of the defendants. They took certain statements from the defendants, and that's included in this discovery.

The remaining piece for discovery, your Honor, is that there is a search warrant that the government expects to swear out in the coming days as there were several electronic devices that were obtained on the vessel, and we will produce those as soon as we are able to extract the contents, hopefully within one week if we don't run into any issues.

THE COURT: All right. This is a case brought under the statutes that relate to vessels that are as a matter of law regarded to be under the maritime jurisdiction of the United States. Defendants in these types of cases frequently have brought motions in front of me challenging the application of these statutes to their clients, and I have issued decisions in such cases in the past, but I wanted to inquire of defense

counsel whether they had a sense at this point whether they would be bringing motions that challenge the application of these statutes to their clients in this case. Has anyone thought about that at this point?

MR. KAMINSKY: Your Honor, I think we all find that we would rather review the discovery first before we see whether there might be a motion.

THE COURT: Have defense counsel talked amongst themselves about, given what the assistant has said, when it might make sense for us to see each other again?

MR. KAMINSKY: I think we believe mid October would be reasonable, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Mike, we are looking for a date in mid October.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Tuesday, October 16, 12:30 is available, your Honor.

THE COURT: How does October 16, 12:30, look for everybody?

MS. SCOLARI: Your Honor, I don't want to intervene to change the date, but I'm going to be on trial. I can ask a colleague to cover.

MR. BERTAN: I will be out of the country until that night, but if someone is willing to cover for a status conference, I will just clear it with my client beforehand.

MR. GREENFIELD: That's fine with me, October 16.

THE COURT: Mr. Kaminsky, you are all right with that date?

MR. KAMINSKY: No objection, your Honor.

MR. MIEDEL: No objection.

THE COURT: So our next conference will be October 16 at 12:30.

Does the government wish me to exclude time between then and now?

MS. HOULE: Yes, your Honor. We move to exclude time through October 16, 2018, to permit the defense to review the discovery materials and to contemplate any motions.

THE COURT: Is there any objection?

MR. MIEDEL: No objection.

MS. SCOLARI: No, your Honor.

COUNSEL: No objection.

THE COURT: Then I will exclude time between today and October 16, 2018, under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to Title 18 United States Code § 3161(h)(7)(A) to permit defense counsel to review the discovery materials and to determine whether there will be any pretrial motions. I do find that the ends of justice served by the granting of this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.

Is there anything else?

MR. GREENFIELD: No.

MR. KAMINSKY: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Kaminsky, go ahead.

MR. KAMINSKY: A couple of issues, your Honor.

The first is, I note that on August 30, the government filed a request seeking relief under Rule 16(d)(1). Obviously since it is an *ex parte* application, I don't know what the government is seeking exactly, but I would note my objection to receiving anything less than full Rule 16 discovery.

THE COURT: All right. I have issued an order granting the government's application to delaying discovery for a 45-day period. I take note of your objection, but I granted that application this morning.

MR. KAMINSKY: Thank you, your Honor.

And there is one other matter, which is that my client, Mr. Alexander Gonzalez, his glasses are broken, and he has a broken tooth. We have brought these matters to the attention of MCC, where he recently landed. He was in Valhalla before that. I just want to put them on the record in case, as sometimes happens, MCC fails to address the situation.

THE COURT: The tooth problem, is he in pain?

MR. KAMINSKY: He is, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I would ask the government to look into these matters if you would.

How serious is the eyesight issue? Is he able to read without his glasses?

MR. KAMINSKY: My understanding is he has borrowed a pair of glasses in order to be able to read, but he cannot read without glasses.

THE COURT: I would ask the government to speak with someone at the MCC about both the dental issue, the tooth issue, and the eyeglass issue. I have encountered delays in the past with the eyeglass issue, so I would like to get you to put that on the calendar at the MCC so they can start addressing it, because sometimes there is significant delay in addressing eyeglass problems. This has come up before.

MS. HOULE: Understood, your Honor.

MR. KAMINSKY: Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If there is nothing else, we are adjourned.